In *Discourse* 2.0: Language and New Media.
Edited by Deborah Tannen and Anna Marie Trester.
Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press, 2013

■ The Medium Is the Metamessage

Conversational Style in New Media Interaction

Georgetown University

Introduction

with 1981 I ORGANIZED the Georgetown University Round Table on Languages and Linguistics "Analyzing Discourse: Text and Talk." In my introduction to that volume (Tannen 1982a, ix) I explain that I regard "text" and "talk" not as two separate entities—text as written language and talk as spoken—but rather as "overlapping aspects of a single entity": discourse. I suggested, moreover, that the word "discourse" is invaluable as a corrective to the tendency to think of spoken and written language as separate and fundamentally different. Research by many of the participants in that meeting supported this view. Bright (1982) showed that spoken discourse exhibits verse markers like those associated with written poetry, and Chafe (1982) demonstrated that spoken Seneca rituals contain many features of written language. In my own research (for example, Tannen 1982b), while ostensibly focusing on spoken and written discourse as well as on orality and literacy, I emphasize that the division is illusory. I suggest that we think instead of oral and literate strategies that are found in speaking or writing.

Another major thread of my research has been analyzing everyday conversation. Early on I developed the notion of "conversational style," whereby speakers think they are simply saying what they mean and accomplishing interactional goals, but in doing so they necessarily choose among many options for each of the full range of linguistic phenomena such as pitch, amplitude, length of pauses, rate of speech, intonational contours, relative directness versus indirectness, discourse structure, and humor. These relatively automatic choices differ according to numerous cultural influences. I have tended to emphasize five primary influences: ethnicity, geographical background, age, class, and gender, while noting that there are innumerable other influences on style, such as sexual orientation and profession. I have shown, furthermore, that features of conversational style function to communicate not only messages—the meaning of words—but also metamessages—indications of how speakers intend what they say and what they are trying to do by saying those words in that way in that context.

These two research threads—on one hand, examining spoken and written language, and on the other, analyzing everyday conversation—converge in the discourse of new media. Email, texting, Gchat, IM, SMS, Facebook, and other types of digital media discourse are widely understood to be written conversation. (For support of this point see Herring 2010.) In this chapter I build on and reinforce this view by demonstrating that the discourse of digital media interaction is characterized by written linguistic phenomena analogous to those I have identified as constituting conversational style in spoken interaction. I show, furthermore, that metamessages are conveyed in electronic interaction not only through the forms of discourse used but also through the choice of medium itself. I hope thus to contribute to an understanding of how new media interaction works, and how it affects interpersonal relationships.

A subtext of my argument is a response to the widespread the-sky-is-falling alarm with which many older Americans have responded to young people's use of social media. I join Thurlow (2006) and Crystal (2008), among others, in pointing out that much of what is being done by young people using new media is not, as their elders often perceive and fear, fundamentally different from what has always been done with language in social interaction. But doing the same old thing in new ways can also present new challenges. One such challenge posed by new media is that the potential metamessages one must take into account increase as the number and type of media platforms among which one must choose proliferate. Moreover, interpreting new media metamessages is especially challenging because media ideologies, as Gershon (2010) demonstrates, are emergent and continually evolving, and they tend to vary greatly not only from one user group to another but also among users in ostensibly the same social groups.

Overview

enthusiasm, but rather is necessary to avoid the impression of apathy or negativity. I cial: their use by young women in the examples presented does not signal litera plays of enthusiasm. The notion that these discursive practices are unmarked is crupunctuation, repetition, capitalization, and greater message length as unmarked disnomena in text messages exchanged by women college students include exclamatory teristic of cross-cultural and cross-regional spoken style. Examples of analogous pheby generation but also by gender. I then describe an "enthusiasm constraint" characdia analogs to conversational style, showing that differences tend to pattern not only tural communication. With this as background, I introduce and illustrate social mecharacterization of cross-generational new media interaction as a kind of cross-culstyle and generational differences in digital discourse style, leading to the metaphoric how I first came to see parallels between regional differences in spoken conversational have dubbed "high-involvement" and "high-considerateness" styles. Next I explain sational style in spoken interaction, with emphasis on the contrast between what use it. I then explain and illustrate the linguistic phenomena that constitute conver-In what follows I begin by defining the term "metamessage" and explaining how I is seen in the brevity of text messages and in a link to a YouTube video. Next I con go on to present other digital analogs to metamessages in conversation. Indirectness

sider digital analogs to the pacing and pausing of turn exchange in spoken conversation. Following that I present examples of metamessages communicated by the choice of medium, including the use of multiple media to send the same message. I next consider medium-related challenges posed by the proliferation of media options. My last example is of a miscommunication that resulted from the mechanics built into the alarm with which older adults have greeted young people's new media practices resembles not only the negativity that commonly accompanies cross-cultural differences in conversational style but also the alarm that accompanied the introduction of a communication technology that we now accept without question: the printing press.

All the examples I present and discuss are of naturally occurring electronic discourse exchanged among friends and family. They were provided by students in my classes who gave permission for their use and who, along with the interlocutors in their examples, are identified (or not) according to their preferences.

Metamessages

sages are implicit rather than explicit. a bite was intended. In other words, the metamessage signaled the activity the montween the speakers." He notes that "the vast majority" of metacommunicative mes-Bateson further explains, "In these, the subject of discourse is the relationship bekeys were engaged in. Applying the concept of metamessage to human interaction. "This is play," thus allowing another monkey to correctly interpret the spirit in which as play. He concluded that monkeys have a way of communicating the metamessage a monkey knew that an obviously hostile move, such as a bite, should be interpreted Fleishhacker Zoo in San Francisco, he observed monkeys at play and wondered how cation is key to his seminal concept of framing. He explains that during a visit to the telling you where to find the cat was friendly."2 Bateson's notion of metacommuni-He illustrates what he calls "the metacommunicative level" with the sentence, "My and Fantasy." Bateson explains that "human verbal communication can operate and "the seemingly simple denotative level" with the sentence, "The cat is on the mat. always does at many contrasting levels of abstraction" (1972, 177-78). He illustrates The concept of metamessages traces to Gregory Bateson's essay "A Theory of Phy

When I refer to messages and metamessages in spoken interaction, I am adapting Bateson's framework to distinguish meaning at two levels of abstraction. I use the term "messages" to refer to what Bateson described as the "seemingly simple denotative level," that is, the meaning of the words as they would be decoded by a dictionary and a grammar. My use of the term "metamessages" derives from his concept of metacommunication, in which "the subject of discourse is the relationship between the speakers" and is overwhelmingly implicit. That is, metamessages communicate how a speaker intends a message, or how a hearer interprets a message—what it says about the relationship that one utters these words in this way in this context.

Conversational Style in New Media Discourse

When the topic of conversation among my peers turns to new media use, especially texting, I frequently hear comments expressing alarm, disapproval, and scorn toward

to-face interaction. Most of my peers consider it self-evident that an individual's atamong older adults. These contrasting views, and their association with older and exchanging text messages while in company might be rude-and further, that they class that they and many of their peers react with incredulity to the suggestion that adults respond as they do. I was surprised, however, to learn from the students in my topics, I understand, in an automatic, gut-level way, why parents and other older turn phone calls promptly-or at all. Although I tend to be relatively neutral on these express disapproval, incredulity, and distress because their children often fail to reself-evidently rude. I also frequently hear the parents of teenagers or young adults tention is owed to the people present, and diverting attention to a handheld device is young people's tendency to send and receive text messages while engaging in faceyear-old as saying, "There's something confrontational about someone calling you." of the kids call us back! They will not call you back." The same article quotes a thirty-(Shapira 2010) that quotes a mother's complaint about her teenage children: "None younger generations, respectively, are reflected in an article in The Washington Post regard telephone calls as rude and intrusive, a notion that sparks parallel incredulity

at length elsewhere (Tannen 2005), is the use of and attitudes toward interruption and matic case of contrasting conversational styles that I have observed, and demonstrate evident, while regarding differing views as illogical if not disingenuous. A paradigstyle: the tendency to view one's own sense of what is rude and what is polite as selfa pattern at the heart of my research on cross-cultural differences in conversational another has stopped is obviously—and rudely—interrupting. These contrasting conoverlap in conversation. Those whose style I identified and described as "high-involveversational styles can be understood as reflecting Robin Lakoff's (1973, 1975) and that only one voice should be heard at a time, so anyone who begins speaking before those whose style I characterized as "high-considerateness" regard it as self-evident ment" often talk along with others as a display of enthusiastic listenership, whereas was articulated by one such speaker to whom I was explaining that high-considerateness style follows Lakoff's "Don't impose" rule of politeness. She responded to be interruptions. It may be somewhat less obvious to some that not talking along raderie." Everyone easily understands why people regard as rude what they perceive attentive listener should vocalize to show involvement corresponds to Brown and liteness and Lakoff's first rule of politeness, "Don't impose." The assumption that an ize while another holds the floor corresponds to Brown and Levinson's negative po-Brown and Levinson's (1987) politeness schemas. The notion that it is rude to vocalcan be equally unacceptable to high-involvement-style speakers. This perspective Levinson's positive politeness and Lakoff's third rule of politeness, "Maintain cama-"But the not imposing is so offensive!" These mutual accusations and the mutual incredulity they evoke remind me of

Conversational style differences thus result in mutual accusations of rudeness regarding overlapping speech: for one group of speakers it is rude to talk along, whereas to another group it is rude for a listener to just sit there like a bump on a log. These respective accusations are parallel to cross-generational attitudes toward use of communication technology: for many members of one generation it is rude not to return phone calls, whereas for many members of the other, it is rude to make

phone calls in the first place. Similarly, whereas members of one group find it rude to use a handheld device to text while in face-to-face interaction, members of the other may not—and may, in fact, deem it rude to fail to respond immediately to a text message, regardless of where they are and what they are doing when it arrives. Moreover, members of each group regard their own assumptions about what is rude as self-evident while reacting with disbelief—or worse—to the other group's contrasting assumptions.

rude to keep the sender waiting for needed information when providing that inforsages take too little time to constitute an interruption, but it would furthermore be mation takes so little time. message while in face-to-face interaction: not only does the exchange of text mesis the logic behind young people's conviction that it is appropriate to send a brief texfewer words than the salesperson used to articulate the reprimand? I suspect that this room-to ask a question so fleeting that the answer could have been delivered in fair one to wait a significant period of time-especially someone in need of a ladies interruption? It takes so little time. In fact, isn't it self-evidently rude to expect some by Maddie Howard's brother and boyfriend: How could anyone mistake this for an now. I'll help you when I'm finished with her." My reaction was exactly that expressed ever, I was stunned to be reprimanded by the salesperson: "I'm serving this customer ond floor." The customer says, "Thank you," and heads to the second floor while the interruption. When I attempted to initiate an encounter of this type in California, howtation to the customer being served, similarly signaling that their encounter is ongoof the ongoing sales encounter; the occupied salesperson maintains a physical orienquent: by hovering at a short distance, the inquirer signals a respect for the primacy salesperson returns to the sales encounter. The kinesics of such an exchange are cloquickly posits the question, and the salesperson utters a cryptic reply, such as "secand hovers in a conventionalized way. The salesperson glances up, the customer ing. The exchange takes only a few seconds and is not perceived by anyone to be an in sight, so the customer approaches a salesperson who is serving another customer question, such as "Where is the ladies' room?" There is no unoccupied salesperson ness of the following scenario: A customer in a department store wishes to ask a quick ing up and living as an adult in New York City, I took for granted the appropriatenative of Brooklyn, New York, living in California. Based on my experience growtice. I experienced the practice I have in mind, and its geographic distribution, as a judgment of high-considerateness-style speakers about a particular interactional pracact explanation reminded me of high-involvement-style speakers' reactions to the engaged in face-to-face interaction, commented, "But it takes so little time." This exher boyfriend, in explaining why it is not rude to send or receive text messages while sational style. My student Maddie Howard reported to our class that her brother and to patterns I had observed and characterized as cross-cultural differences in conver-I will present one more new media example that struck me, early on, as similar

As a native of New York City and a high-involvement-style speaker, I continue to see self-evident logic and advantage to the conversational routine I have just described. As an analyst of conversational interaction, I can see the logic of both perspectives, and can understand why the same behavior can be seen as polite in one

tion-related differences in new media discursive practices part of the country but rude in another. In the following sections I show that parallel processes of contrasting interactional routines can characterize gender- and genera-

Markers of Enthusiasm and Intensity

siderateness conversational style. In my previous work (Tannen 1986, 2005), I decan be understood as associated with high-involvement as contrasted with high-conethnicity. Among users of new media, the differing uses-and contrasting interprescribe these different conversational styles with reference to geographic region and Many aspects of social media discourse that tend to differ from one group to another depth the expression of enthusiasm and its relation to gender. tations of those uses--tend to pattern by age and gender. I begin by exploring in more

vided by a Greek woman. She recalled that when she was a young girl, if she asked "enthusiasm constraint." An example I examine elsewhere (Tannen 1986) was prounenthusiastic. If he had really approved, he would have said something more like her father whether she could go somewhere, and he answered, "An thes, pas" ("If you style, her word choice and emphatic voice quality showed enthusiastic interest and atand paralinguistic features such as, "Wow! Oh my god!" In her high-involvement told her of some event in their lives, she frequently responded with expressive lexical been raised in New York City was raising her own children in Vermont. When they want, you can go"), she knew that she should not go, because his approval had been she was responding to them, they'd groan, "Oh, Mom! It's not THAT big a deal!" They style, would look around to see what had frightened their mother. When they realized tention. Her children, however, who had learned a relatively high-considerateness regard to the enthusiasm constraint within an American family. A mother who had "Nai, na pas" ("Yes, you should go"). I also describe a cross-cultural difference with were certain that her overreaction was a personality quirk unique to their mother. An element of high-involvement style in spoken conversation is what I call an

and Herring (2003) as characterizing gender-related expectations of expressiveness or regional background: Greek in my first example, and New York City compared with exchanges that they have gathered demonstrate that gender differences in the use of dents in my classes have found similar patterns. Examples of text message and email in electronic exchanges, such as in young women's greater use of emoticons. The stu-New England in the second. Parallel patterns have been described by Baron (2004) tural communication and potential miscommunication new media conventions for the expression of emotion constitute a kind of cross-cul-These expectations with regard to the expression of enthusiasm vary by cultural

Example 1: Contrasting Expectations of

Enthusiasm Markers

in an instant message (IM) exchange she had with her younger brother, who was attending a college situated midway between their hometown and Washington, DC, A student in my class found evidence of a kind of cross-cultural miscommunication brother the following IM: where Georgetown University is located. The exchange began when she sent her

Hey! So, I have an idea for President's Day Weekend!

Her brother responded

Oh God, you and your ideas . . . what is it?

ated communication than in women's. She simply went on to explain her idea: to visit vice identified by Herring (1995, 2003) as more common in men's computer-medithe way back"): him on her way home. (Her meaning was unambiguous, although she miswrote "on The student did not react explicitly to her brother's use of sarcasm, a rhetorical de-

it's supposed to be really nice out! coming to visit you on the way back? I can take the train and stay over I'm gonna go home from Saturday to Monday, but what do you think of me Thursday and Friday night. We can do something fun during the day on Friday.

Her brother replied

Okay cool. Thursday is fine, but I have a club baseball tournament I'm leaving for Friday

Her next message said

Oh . . . okay. Well we can get dinner and go out on Thursday then?

Her brother responded

Dinner sounds good. I'll pick you up at the station

far. She, too, used sarcasm: Her next response showed how she had been interpreting her brother's messages thus

Wow . . . good thing you sound excited . . .

Her brother denied that he had intended to communicate indifference

What? Sorry, sorry, I am. I am

sage of enthusiasm--communicated by his choice of technology: the telephone rather and talked about wanting her to meet his friends. Note the significance—the metamestant, his actions communicated enthusiasm, as he called her repeatedly on the phone than email. Not only had he repeated "sorry" and "I am" in his reassurances, but, more importhe prospect of her visit, but she later encountered independent evidence that he was The sister reported that she had truly suspected that her brother was not thrilled with

of enthusiasm patterned by gender. This observation is supported by Herring and Zesister, along with other class members, believed that differences regarding the display lenkauskaite (2009), who found that women tend to use more nonstandard typography in expectations of how enthusiasm should be communicated in digital discourse. The dia use, such as the enthusiasm entailed by making telephone calls, but they differed This example shows that the siblings shared certain assumptions about new me-

Deborah Tannen

ilar gender pattern in the use of exclamation points. In order to see what made this young woman suspect that her brother was not enthusiastic about her proposed visit, including repeated letters and punctuation, and by Waseleski (2006), who found a simwe can compare his responses to those of the young woman in the next example.

garding the display of enthusiasm in digital interaction. Example 2, provided by Kim-Example 1 illustrated cross-gender miscommunication due to stylistic differences rediscourse among young women. It is a text message exchange between Kimberly and berly Garity, demonstrates how an enthusiasm constraint operates in digital media Example 2: Enthusiasm Markers as Shared Conventions her friend Jillian, who had previously lived in the same dormitory. Jillian wrote,

Hey so I haven't seen you the ENTIRE week and I receally miss you!

What are you doing tonight/tomorrow for meals?

Sorry I had to miss lunch yesterday!

But really, this needs to change because I miss McCarthy 8

only because I can't just stop by your room to chat!

Here is Kimberly's response

I miss you too!!!!!!!!

R you going to Justin and Lance's tonight??

Slash wanna do din tomorrow??

can't wait to catch up on life!!

ing multiple exclamation points ("I miss you too!!!!!!!" and "I can't wait to catch up on life!!"). Even question marks were reduplicated ("R you going to Justin and In analyzing this exchange, Kimberly noted a range of enthusiasm markers, includrefers to the typed symbol [/], designates an option or a topic switch—a fascinating example of how digital discourse represents spoken discourse, even if it means more special meaning, and her friend might well have concluded that Kimberly was unennot used them, it would have been marked; that is, their absence would have carried meant literally. Rather, they are expected-unmarked in the linguistic sense. Had she keystrokes.) Kimberly observed, however, that these markers of enthusiasm were not Lance's tonight??" and "Slash wanna do din tomorrow??"). (The word "slash," which

thusiastic about getting together. dent reported that she had to tell her mother to please add "i's" to her salutation to "Hiiii." A single-i "Hi," they explained, comes across as cold, even sullen. One stuthey regularly repeat the final vowel in the salutation "Hi," so it reads, for example, cause reduplicating word-final vowels is unmarked, single vowels in that position avoid this impression-even though she knew that her mother did not intend it. Beetition as an enthusiasm constraint. As with all elements of conversational style, our take on negative metamessages for those who have become accustomed to letter repthe impression of coolness conveyed by her mother's single-i salutation could be seen reactions to unexpected style features are emotional and automatic. In that sense, as the result of cross-cultural miscommunication. Telling her mother to please add When we discussed this example in class, several women commented that

> guage speaker: the corrector knows what the speaker means, but the utterance does n't sound right.3 "i's" is thus parallel to correcting the grammar or pronunciation of a nonnative lan-

by repetition—for a total of 23—of the vowel "a." and salam, the Arabic equivalent of "hi" rendered in English letters, is emphasized sooha," where "sooha" is a diminutive form of the recipient's (pseudonymous) name A similar example in Arabic was provided by a student from Oman. She received

Example 3: Volubility versus Taciturnity

of negativity can be intended. In the following example, which was provided by Lauput the fight behind them. Here is how the exchange went: ren Murray, a student ("Mary"—a pseudonym) initiated an IM exchange with a friend the mistaken interpretation of negativity by his sister, in other cases the impression with whom she had had an argument in order to see whether the friend was ready to If a young man's omission of conventionalized enthusiasm markers could result in

MARY: Hey.

FRIEND: Hi.

MARY: Hey what's going on? I haven't talked to you in forever.

FRIEND: Nothing much.

MARY: Cool. How's work going?

MARY: That sucks. Is it fun at all? FRIEND: Good. Busy.

FRIEND: Not really.

MARY: Sweet. Have you met any new guys in the office?

FRIEND: Not really.

MARY: Oh, that sucks. Well, I'm sure you will. Ha

FRIEND: Ha.

Omg. The other day I heard Pat dropped out of school and is definitely not going back. I can't believe it. It's so terrible. You know?

FRIEND: Cool.

MARY: Oh, yeah. Did you find an apartment yet?

FRIEND: Nope.

MARY: Alrighty then. I guess I'm gonna go now

FRIEND: Bye.

lowing Mary's observation that a mutual acquaintance "dropped out of school" and tic, usually monosyllabic responses. Perhaps most striking is the reply "Cool" folat her, because all Mary's attempts to get a conversation going were met with crypher evaluation of this news as both surprising ("I can't believe it") and regrettable As this exchange unfolded, it became clear to Mary that her friend was still angry

To test whether this expectation of enthusiasm was gender related, Lauren Murray showed the exchange to seven women and five men and asked for their interpretations. All five men attributed the friend's short responses to her being busy or indifferent but not angry. Six of the seven women said that the friend was angry. For the women, at least, the enthusiasm constraint was at work: terse replies communicated coolness.

Example 4: Repetition and Capitalization

In the next example, as in the preceding one, taciturnity is used to send a negative metamessage. In addition, this example demonstrates a use of intensity markers that is parallel to their use in service of the enthusiasm constraint. Example 4, provided by Jacqueline Fogarty, illustrates the use of enthusiasm markers in the issuing of an apology.

Jackie and a number of friends had gathered in order to go somewhere together. As everyone in the group piled into taxis, only Jackie was left awaiting a last member of the group, who had been delayed. Finding herself alone, Jackie sent the following (sarcastic) text message to a friend who was among the group:

Thanks for waiting for Melissa with me thats cool

The friend responded

JACKIE I AM SO SO SO SORRY! I thought you were behind us in the cab and then I saw you weren't!!!!! I feel soooooooo bad! Catch another cab and ill pay for it for youuuuu

The friend conveyed the sincerity and depth of her apology (either actual or represented—it is neither possible nor necessary to distinguish) by capitalization ("JACKIE I AM SO SO SO SORRY!"), multiple exclamation points ("I saw you weren't!!!!!"), word repetition ("SO SO SORRY"), reduplication of word-final vowels (at the end of "so" in "I feel soooooooo bad!" and at the end of "you" in "ill pay for it for youuuuu").

The repetition of the final vowel in "youuuuu" is particularly interesting, since its impact is solely visual. When reading "soooooooo," one can hear the word "so" with the vowel sound elongated, as one imagines someone saying, "I feel sooooooo bad!" But repeating the final "u" in "you" doesn't work the same way. For one thing, the vowel sound doesn't reside in the letter "u" but in the double-digit "ou." More important, "hearing" the sentence in one's mind with that sound elongated ("I'll pay for it for yooooooooo") doesn't sound like anything anyone would say for emphasis. It seems instead that the reduplication of the word-final letter is a visual means to provide emphasis and communicate sincerity and depth of emotion, much like the previously discussed repetition of the final letter in the salutation "Hiiii."

In reply to her friend's message, Jackie texted,

no its fine we are walking

In this message, the lack of expressive markers, and the resultant impression of taciturnity, indicated how less than fine it really was. Jackie's friend then wrote,

THE MEDIUM IS THE METAMESSAGE

seriously Jackie please, get a cab, I feel so bad!!!

Here the friend's repeated final exclamation points indicate the depth of her feelings and hence the sincerity of her apology. But Jackie was not to be mollified. She replied we are walking there its fine.

Throughout this example, the friend uses expressive spelling, capitalization, repetition, and reduplicated punctuation to send a metamessage of intensity along with her message of apology. And Jackie's omission of these features indicates her continuing displeasure and reluctance to let her friend off the hook.

Indirectness and Its Discontents

As far back as Lakoff's (1973) early work on communicative style, linguists have focused a great deal of analysis on indirectness in conversation, with its powerful pointirectness is fundamental and pervasive in conversational interaction; it is simply plications, and metamessages intended or, in Goffman's sense, "given off"—that is, well; indeed, the opportunities and the liabilities of indirectness are enhanced by the constraints of the media themselves.

Example 5: Brevity as Indirectness

The word "cryptic" suggests that brevity can be associated with unstated, even hidden, meaning. Thus brevity, which is commonly regarded as characteristic of text messages, frequently entails ambiguity. Example 5 shows the potential ambiguity inherent in a one-word text message. Fiona Hanly wrote the following description of the complex potential metamessages that she and her friends took into account when considering how to interpret a missive composed of a single word:

On Thursday evening, out to dinner with several friends, one of my friends, Lauren, received a text from a boy she was interested in that read simply: "Hey." To which she wondered: what did he mean with "hey?" Did he really mean just hey? Was he checking to see if she was busy? Was he actually interested in her like she was interested in him? Was he bored? How should she respond—should she assume that there was something implied by his text, had set up?

Brevity is a common motivation for texting rather than telephoning: one does not have to say, "Hello, how are you? Did I call at a bad time?" before getting to the content of a message. Neither does one have to signal the end or take leave: no "Okay, I'll talk to you later" is required, nor even a fleeting "Take care." This example demonstrates, however, that the brevity of the text message "Hey" means that the text message could be interpreted in many different ways, each possible interpretation entailing indirect meanings that could plausibly have been implied—and equally plausibly denied.

Example 6: An Electronic Link as Indirectness

of a URL to be clicked on. The participants in this exchange, a young woman and bly implied, by a common new media discursive practice: providing a link in the form Greg Bennett provided another example of indirect meaning interpreted, and possidown to earth with a thud. She concluded that she had misread his intent: he was not sage saying, "Saw the video. Were you trying to say something?" He replied ply that his interest in her was also becoming romantic, she sent him an SMS mesthat featured a song with rather romantic lyrics. Pleased that the video seemed to imest in the man. One day, he posted a link on her Facebook wall to a YouTube video young man, were friends, but the woman was beginning to develop a romantic interromantically interested in her after all. "ummmm . . . i just thought it was a cool video. why?" This reply brought her back

a form of indirect meaning that is particular to electronic interaction. The example a woman coworker to join him for lunch, and was uncertain how literally to interpret also parallels gender patterns in conversational style with respect to directness verbiguity of posting a link to another medium or message, such as a YouTube videorectness (Tannen 1986, 79), I give the example of a man who had repeatedly asked sus indirectness. In a discussion of conversational style differences regarding indiasking a direct question: "Do you really mean you can't, or are you trying to tell me why she was unable rather than unwilling to accept. He tried to clear things up by her repeated refusals, which were always accompanied by plausible explanations for indirectness was the only way she could refuse an invitation. it's a really busy time for me." His attempt to force her to be direct failed, because to have lunch with you-ever," so she said something like, "Oh, well, sure, you know, ter assumption was accurate, the woman could not bring herself to say, "I don't want you don't want to have lunch with me so I shouldn't ask again?" Even though the lat-This example illustrates both the communicative potential and the inherent am-

posting a link, he was expressing it indirectly. By asking, "Were you trying to say to indirectness, it is possible that the young man who posted the link to a YouTube video" might reflect, as she concluded, that she had been wrong to interpret the munication. His seemingly clueless "ummmm . . . i just thought it was a cool something?" the young woman was asking him to shift from indirect to direct comhis discomfort with direct expression of romantic interest rather than a lack of such been wrong in drawing this conclusion. It is possible that his response indicated link as an indirect expression of romantic interest. However, she might also have video really was developing a romantic interest in the young woman. However, by Looked at from the perspective of conversational style differences with regard

and pervasive in electronic interaction Electronic links, then, can be seen as a form of indirectness that is particular to

Pacing and Pausing in Turn-Taking

everyone has a sense of how long a pause is normal within a turn before listeners get spoken interaction is relative pacing in the exchange of turns. In spoken conversation, A final linguistic feature of new media discourse that parallels conversational style in the impression that a current speaker is finished so another is free-or obligated-to

> anything. In both cases, the speed of response has led to interpretations—sometimes valid, sometimes not--about interlocutors' intentions and abilities. the interactional work with someone who either has nothing to say or is unwilling to say the former is interrupting and hogging the floor, while the former feels forced to do all is simply waiting for the length of pause that signals an open floor. The latter feels that expects a shorter pause will get the impression that the other has finished when that other tors have differing expectations regarding the length of interturn pauses, the one who lead to mutual negative evaluations and frequent misinterpretations. When interlocutural and cross-subcultural differences in pacing and pausing, and that these differences take the floor. Elsewhere I demonstrate at length (Tannen 2005) that there are cross-cul-

of indirectness particular to electronic interaction. battery power---introduces the risk of unintended meaning that may be seen as a kind cal phenomena--all electronic equipment can malfunction, break, or run out of nological: his cell phone battery had run out. The interference of such purely technishe concluded that he was angry at her. It turned out that the reason was merely techported that when her boyfriend did not respond quickly to a text message she sent. ken conversational style, interpretations can turn out to be mistaken. A student relengthy response time could indicate a lack of enthusiasm. Furthermore, as with spomantic interest, too much enthusiasm equates with desperation. In the same spirit, a reply indicates enthusiasm, and that when it comes to the delicate negotiations of rowant to seem desperate." This advice is predicated on the assumption that a speedy electronic messages, and have advised friends, "Don't respond right away; you don't dents tell me that they frequently confer on the appropriate way to respond to ceive, and speed of response carries metamessages with regard to intentions. My stuended, but interactants must still decide how quickly to respond to messages they re-In the exchange of electronic messages, it is clear when a sender's turn has

interaction: the metamessages communicated by the choice of medium. In the next and final section, I turn to a phenomenon that is particular to new media teraction entail the sending and interpreting of unstated meaning, or metamessages ing of turn exchange all constitute kinds of indirectness that are particular to digital suggested that brevity of text messages, the provision of electronic links, and the pacinteraction. Like indirectness in conversation, these aspects of computer-mediated inmarkers of enthusiasm in digital discourse, particularly among young women. I then nity, capitalization, repetition, and emphatic punctuation can be requisite, unmarked conversational style in spoken discourse. I first showed that volubility versus tacitur-The examples thus far have illustrated digital discourse analogs to elements of

The Medium Is the Metamessage

allel to Gershon's (2010) notion of "second-order information." self sends metamessages. My use of the term "metamessage" in this context is par-In the multiplatform environment of electronic discourse, the choice of medium it-

sponses." But that would have indicated a lack of interest on the part of readers, or eral answer to my question would have been, "No, the blog hasn't received any re-I asked him if he had received any responses, and he said. "It got thirty hits." The lit-Bennett told of a blog post he had written that was related to the topic of our course. The mere use of a medium communicates meaning. For example, when Greg

Deborah lanner

even a lack of readers, which would have been misleading. The level of reader interest was better communicated by reporting the number of "hits": on thirty separate separate readers; a "hit" could represent a new reader or a return reader.) Enthusioccasions, a reader had engaged with the blog. (We don't know whether this was thirty asm or interest among readers was a metamessage indicated by their use of the

Example 7: Communicating Intensity by Using

repeating words and word-final vowels in text messages. In example 7 a college stu-In example 4 Jacqueline Fogarty's friend emphasized the sincerity of her apology by studying when a friend interrupted to ask her a question. Soon after, the friend apolusing two different media to send the same message. Maddie Howard had been busy dent sends a metamessage of sincerity in an apology by a different sort of repetition: Multiple Media ogized for the interruption by sending both a text message and email. Here, first, is ence held at Georgetown to oppose mountaintop removal mining.) the email message. (The phrase "app rising" refers to "Appalachia Rising," a confer-

great :) oh and sorry for barging into your study sesh last night! there were some stranded app 2 rising folks and i was gonna see if i could drive them. :/

Maddie also received the following text message the same day:

Apologies for intruding on your homework time last night!

by a different medium, added emphasis. It is worthy of note that the gravity of the although it does include two emoticons (the opening ":)" and the closing ":/"). This that is why this email message includes only a single exclamation point ("last night!"). offense that instigated this apology is less than that in the previous example. Perhaps Each missive alone communicated the apology; sending two separate messages, each sion briefly interrupted, is less than that experienced by Jackie, who was left stranded seems fitting, as the inconvenience visited upon Maddie, having her homework sesby her friends. The emphasis by multiple media as compared with emphasis by capitalization and repetition seems, respectively, perfectly suited to the seriousness of the respective offenses.

had changed from "in a relationship" to "single." This status change alerted her Facecounted by Caitlin Sudman. Caitlin noticed that the Facebook status of a friend, Sue, Another example of a metamessage communicated by the choice of medium was re-Metamessages in Medium Choice book friends that Sue and her boyfriend had broken up. Predictably, many of those was certain that this did not mean that her close friends cared less about Sue than did noticed, however, that none of those messages were sent by Sue's close friends. Caitlin friends posted messages of support and sympathy on Sue's Facebook wall. Caitlin by another medium—a private one, such as email or telephone—before making the her Facebook friends. She surmised that Sue would have contacted her close friends information about her breakup available on the public medium of Facebook. Learn-

ing, even rejecting—a sign that one was not, in fact, a close friend ing an important development in a close friend's life on Facebook would be distanc-

by a student in my class: through the potential metamessages associated with each medium was described able, but so are many electronic options as well. The dilemma posed by sorting furthermore, could be handwritten or typed. Today those same options are availvey information: face-to-face conversation, telephone, or letter. A letter enon. Older adults can recall when we had to choose among several media to con-Awareness that choice of medium sends metamessages is not a new phenom

time after, I did check for a reply, but more than that, I checked to see if he was and asking about the competition in the title and adding the point about the on Facebook to see whether he had gotten the message. deadline in the body of the message. Since I was at my computer for a long thing he sees. It sets the tone. I solved the dilemma by getting right to the point because the subject is what introduces the reader to the message. It's the first private message. But I had one final choice: what to fill in as the subject. Now thought my message would look strange right above that one. So, I picked the later told him she couldn't go to the competition, were dancing together. But I exchange he had with someone else about how he and his actual partner, who contacting someone by Facebook. I went to his profile page and saw a recent context of the three, well four if you count the Status message pings, ways of nowhere near the level of email, a private Facebook message is formal in the parties involved if he preferred to dance with someone else. However, while pushy and was simply reminding him that he agreed to give me an answer question or subtle reminder about the deadline on his wall or in a private would have seemed odd and possibly demanding, even desperate. My asking him to be my partner for an upcoming ballroom dancing competition. this just may be me being weird, but I wasn't sure where to proceed from there before the deadline. A private message would make it less awkward for both message. A wall post would have better conveyed the idea that I was not being Facebook. The first thing was to check whether he was on Facebook Chat. remaining choices were texting or Facebook. While texting would have been him an out if he wanted to decline. I rejected email as too formal. Such a tone person because that would make it harder for him to say no. I wanted to give wanted to avoid the face threatening act of contacting him by phone or in medium to use to contact him. The message needed to be prompt, but I also all tied to issues of which medium to use. The first step was to decide which to take and decisions to make along the way in contacting him and they were me he would let me know well ahead of time, but he didn't. I had several steps registration had to be in, ideally at the end of the same day. He had earlier told I recently had to contact someone for the potentially awkward purpose of Unfortunately, he wasn't. I had to then decide whether I wanted to post my ideal in terms of time and tone, I didn't have his phone number. So, I turned to The message I had to convey to him was to let me know ASAP because

Deborah Tannen

THE MEDIUM IS THE METAMESSAGE

opportunities and new liabilities with regard to potential metamessages entailed in ing a medium for her brief query dramatizes how each new medium entails both new This eloquent articulation of the factors the writer had to take into account in choosthe choice of medium.

the mechanics built into it. My final example demonstrates such a liability. Example Sometimes miscommunication can result not from the choice of a medium but from common practice among his peers to put a tagline on text messages as a personal sigsister Laura and his cousin Nick, who was also thirteen. Nick told James that it is 8, provided by James Boyman, is a text exchange between James's thirteen-year-old Example 8: Pitfalls Built into the Technology email messages. There is a difference, however: whereas the signature appended aunature, much like the signature that routinely appears at the bottom of many people's tomatically to the end of an email message is visible to both sender and receiver, a up on the screen of the sender's handheld device. (In the following example, Nick's text message tagline automatically appended to every text message sent does not show tagline, "saints suck," refers to a football team, the New Orleans Saints.) The exchange began when Laura initiated a text message to Nick:

hi wats up?

Nick's reply appeared on Laura's cell phone screen:

nothing much. Wats up with u?

Responding to the second line of Nick's text message, Laura wrote,

oh, ur upset about the football game

To this, Nick replied,

saints suck yeah I am

Noticing the repetition of the final line, Laura replied to this by sending the message,

u already said that

Seemingly puzzled by this remark, Nick wrote.

wat do u mean? saints suck

Laura, puzzled in turn, replied,

u said it again

Nick then wrote,

wat are u talking about? saints suck

At this point, Laura figured it out:

oh its ur signature

Nick, however, was still clueless

wats my signature? saints suck

"got to go"): At that point, Laura had to end the exchange. (Note that "g2g" is an abbreviation for

nev mind. g2g moms here

in creating confusion: Nick then took his leave as well—none the wiser about the role played by his tagline

saints suck

a liability built into the medium. is not aware that those words are there at all. The misunderstanding thus results from his screen. If Laura does not know how the words "saints suck" were intended, Nick sage. For the sender it is easy to forget about the signature, which is not visible on with an email signature—what is preprogrammed and what is part of the specific mes-For the receiver of the text messages, it is not immediately apparent—as it would be The potential ambiguity of Nick's signature, "saints suck," is built into the medium

Conclusion

ing from widespread pamphleteering and information overload. Eisenstein provides of an equally broad range of devastation, including the risk of political chaos resultas a potential solution to a vast array of problems but also railed against as the source invention of the printing press, as reflected in her title, Divine Art, Infernal Machine ing would destroy memory. She further documents the mixed reaction sparked by the dia. Historian Elizabeth Eisenstein reminds us of Plato's fear that the invention of writplished in new ways. In this spirit, it may be helpful to remember that what Crispin world, but a world in which many familiar interactional activities are being accomaction is the plus ça change claim that new media interaction is not an entirely new my illustrating a range of new media analogs to conversational style in spoken interversation is similar to what has always happened in spoken conversation. Implicit in new media interaction poses new challenges, much of what happens in digital con-The preceding example is a microcosm of a theme I mention at the outset: although this example of such ambivalence: Reminiscent of ambivalent reactions to digital media, the printing press was hailed Thurlow (2006) dubs "moral panic" has accompanied the introduction of all new me-

knowledge." But he also expressed alarm about the "horrible mass of books" duplicated books and thus made it possible "to preserve the greater part of our Leibniz, when addressing Louis XIV in 1680, paid tribute to the way printing

THE MEDIUM IS THE METAMESSAGE

rather than an honor to be an author." (2011, 87) that kept on growing. Unless contained and restrained, he advised, the increase in output would result in intolerable disorder, and it would become "a disgrace

day toward those who profligately disseminate their words in blogs and twitter feeds ing it a disgrace to write a book, yet Leibniz's tone resembles the scorn often heard to Contemporary readers are unlikely to have feared that the printing press risks render-

pacing and pausing in spoken conversational turn exchange. speed of response are parallel to interpretations (and potential misinterpretations) of ambiguity and misinterpretation. Furthermore, metamessages communicated by the with corresponding potential for communication of unstated meaning as well as for metamessages communicated by the choice of medium are all forms of indirectness. gest that the brevity of text messages and the posting of electronic links as well as gation of sounds to create emphasis and emotional valence in speaking. I also sugpunctuation marks. These are parallel to the use of amplitude, intonation, and elonthe use of emphatic punctuation; capitalization; and repetition of words, letters, or course that resemble those in spoken interaction. The examples in this chapter include sages are communicated by aspects of conversational style found in electronic disunderstood by reference to the meaning of words and grammatical usage, metameson two levels of abstraction: message and metamessage. Whereas messages can be sents my elaboration of this metaphoric premise. In interpersonal interaction taking dia interaction as a kind of cross-cultural communication. The present study reprehave greeted younger generations' uses of new media has led me to think of new meplace over new media, as in interpersonal conversation, meaning is communicated This spirit of scorn and moral panic with which members of older generations

course of digital social media and how the use of such media affects interpersonal identified some of the ways that new media discourse parallels phenomena in spoof a signature tagline that is visible to the recipient but not the sender. In sum, I have count in making that choice. There are also liabilities built into the technology of elecitself sends metamessages --- and such potential metamessages must be taken into acent media is a way of communicating emphasis or intensity, and the choice of medium ative and intended or unintended metamessages. Sending a message via two differken interaction, as well as some ways that it differs, in order to shed light on the distronic media, such as the potential for technological breakdowns and the automaticity New media discourse, however, also entails unique vehicles for positive or neg-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

tion to those named in connection with specific examples, I would also like to thank Isabella Janusz and of digital media in their personal interactions, especially those whose examples are cited herein. In addian earlier draft Sarah Mirabile. Finally, I am grateful to Susan Herring and Anna Marie Trester for helpful comments on I am grateful to all the students in my classes who helped me understand their own and their peers' uses

NOTES

1. Finding a term to refer to the topic of this chapter is problematic. As Susan Herring points out in her chapter, "new media," which is used here and in the title of the volume, "is lacking in historical per-

> interpersonal interaction of email, Gehat, IM, SMS, text messages, and Facebook cation," and other related terms interchangeably, in order to refer collectively to the use in puters. In this chapter I use "new media," "social media," "digital discourse," "electronic communicommunication (CMC) is no longer descriptive, since handheld devices, for example, are not comspective"; the term "digital media" is too broad, as it includes video games; and computer-mediated

2. Bateson also identifies a second type of meaning that operates on the same level of abstraction as and such class of objects." trates that level with the example sentence, "The verbal sound 'cat' stands for any member of such metacommunication: "metalinguistic," in which "the subject of discourse is the language." He illus-

3. Anna Marie Trester reminds me that the metaphoric parallel between native and nonnative speaker tive speakers" of new media discourse, whereas for older people it is a second language is not entirely arbitrary but rather reminiscent of the common observation that young people are "na-

REFERENCES

Baron, Naomi. 2004. See you online: Gender issues in college student use of instant messaging. Journal of Language and Social Psychology 23, no. 4:397–423.

Bateson, Gregory, 1972. A theory of play and fantasy. In Steps to an ecology of mind, 177-93, New York

Bright, William, 1982. Literature: Written and oral. In Analyzing discourse: Text and talk, ed. Deborah

Tannen, 271-83. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.

Brown, Penelope, and Stephen Levinson. 1987. Politeness: Some universals in language usage. Cambridge Cambridge University Press.

Crystal, David. 2008. Txmg: The gr8 db8. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Chafe, Wallace. 1982. Integration and involvement in speaking, writing, and oral literature. In Spoken and written language: Exploring orality and literacy, ed. Deborah Tannen, 35–53, Norwood, NJ: Ablex

Eisenstein, Elizabeth. 2011. Divine art, infernal machine: The reception of printing in the Wess from first impressions to the sense of an ending. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press

Gershon, Ilana. 2010. The breakup 2.0: Disconnecting over new media. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University

Herring, Susan C. 1995. Men's language on the internet. Nordlyd 23:1-20.

eds. Janet Holmes and Miriam Meyerhoff, 202-28. Malden, MA, and Oxford: Basil Blackwell. 2003. Gender and power in on-line communication. In The handbook of language and gender.

cle 2. www.languageatinternet.org/articles/2010/2801. . 2010. Computer-mediated conversation: Introduction and overview. Language@Internet ?, arti-

Herring, Susan C., and Asta Zelenkauskaite. 2009. Symbolic capital in a virtual heterosexual market: Abbreviations and insertion in Italian iTV SMS. Written Communication 26, no. 1:5-31.

Lakoff, Robin. 1973. The logic of politeness, or minding your p's and q's. In Papers from the Ninth Re-Ann Weiser, 292-305. Chicago: University of Chicago Department of Linguistics gional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistics Society, eds. Claudia Corum, T. Cedric Smith-Stark, and

. 1975. Language and woman's place. New York: Harper and Row

Shapira, lan. 2010. Texting generation doesn't share boomers' taste for talk. Hashington Post, August 8

www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/08/07/AR2010080702848.html

Tannen, Deborah. 1982a. Introduction. In Analyzing discourse: Test and talk, ed. Deborah Tannen, ix xii Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.

1982b. Oral and literate strategies in spoken and written narratives. Language 58, no. 1:1-21.

others. New York: William Morrow. . 1986. That's not what I meant!: How conversational style makes or breaks your relations with

ford University Press. 2005. Conversational style: Analyzing talk among friends. Rev. ed. New York and Oxford: Ox

Thurlow, Crispin, 2006. From statistical panie to moral panie: The metadiscursive construction and pop munication 11, no. 3, article 1 ular exaggeration of new media language in the print media. Journal of Computer-Mediated Com

Waseleski, Carol. 2006. Gender and the use of exclamation points in computer-mediated communication: An analysis of exclamations posted to two electronic discussion lists. Journal of Computers Mediated Communication 11, no. 4, article 6.